

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,420	03/13/2002	Toshikazu Uchiyama	172A 3244 PCT	5068	
7	590 04/02/2003				
Koda & Androlia 2029 Century Park East Suite 3850			EXAMINER		
			LAM, TUAN THIEU		
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Atu				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	— (v.v)				
		10/088,420	UCHIYAMA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tuan T. Lam	2816					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondenc add	ress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com	nmunication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 /	<i>May 2002</i> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ion of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application							
5\□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement						
	ion Papers	reduit requirement.		,				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner	•				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	-		nnlication)				
a	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has be	en received.	ippiioddolly.				
. تاری. Attachmen		o priority under 00 0.0.0.	53 120 and/01 121,					
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-					
C Detect and T	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/088,420

Art Unit: 2816

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A consists of claim 1, figure 1.

Species B consists of claim 2, figure 2.

Species C consists of claims 3-4, figure 3.

Species D consists of claims 5-10, figure 5.

Species E consists of claims 11-12, figure 11.

Species F consists of claim 13, figure 13.

Species G consists of claim 14, figure 14.

Species H consists of claims 15-16, 20 and 22, figure 16.

Species I consists of claims 17-19 and 21, figure 17.

Species J consists of claims 23-25, figure 19.

Species K consists of claims 26-27, figure 20.

Species L consists of claims 28-29, figure 21.

Species M consists of claims 30-34 and 36, figure 24.

Species N consists of claims 35 and 40, figure 27.

Species O consists of claims 37 and 39, figure 26.

Species P consists of claim 38, figure 25.

Species Q consists of claims 41-42, figure 28.

Species R consists of claim 43, figure 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,420

Art Unit: 2816

Species S consists of claims 44-45, figure 36.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: None, there is no generic claim.

- 2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: none of the species listed above have common special features from each other.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 703-305-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P CALLAHAN can be reached on 730-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Tuan T. Lam Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816

tl

March 31, 2003